

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/756,792	01/10/2001	Seiji Umemoto	Q62563	6553
7590 05/21/2004 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK, & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			AMARI, ALESSANDRO V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	

DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	 		
09/756,792	UMEMOTO ET AL	UMEMOTO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	\		
Alessandro V. Amari	2872	l pm		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 10 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3 Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed Request for Continued
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or	b)]
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT 706.07(f).	the mailing date of the final rejection. THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition unfee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponder under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension d for reply originally set in the final Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed with 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dist	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or s	search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal issues for appeal; and/or	by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding numNOTE:	nber of finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitte canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	en considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed S0 raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	OLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be enter explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided in the control of the	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: 2 and 6-29.	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-5</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.
9 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)
10. Other:	10
	MARK A. ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER
	1 1 11 tal. at

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims as finally rejected do not distinguish the claimed optical path changing polarizer from the prior art cited.